British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

DECEMBER 1983

12p

BNP

Black population growth

THE HORRIFYING TRUTH

Real figures show that the Government has been lying to the people

Black family: the look which says "we're outbreeding you."

HOW MANY COLOURED IMMIGRANTS IN BRITAIN? For years this vital question has been a talking point among ordinary folk everywhere, yet it remains a question to which the Government and establishment of press and broadcasting have failed to provide a clear answer. A population census was conducted in 1981 by the Government-backed Office of Population Censuses and Surveys, but after much argument it was decided to exclude from this census any information about the ethnic origins of the United Kingdom's 56 million inhabitants. The census only mentions the countries of birth of those inhabitants born overseas; completely excluded are figures for non-white ethnic groups born in this country, which most people regard still as immigrants just as much as their fathers or grandfathers born abroad.

When politicians and journalists talk about immigrant numbers, it is their habit to name figures between 1¾ million and 2 million, the latter figure being the maximum number of coloureds that they will acknowledge we have in this country.

We are now in a position to know that the politicians and journalists have been LYING, and that the very minimum number of coloureds resident in Britain is ABOUT DOUBLE THE FIGURES THAT THEY CLAIM.

MINIMUM FIGURE

Information that we have compiled makes it clear that the coloured population of this country could not possibly be less than 3½ MILLION. In all probability it is more, as any glance at the streets in our major towns and cities will confirm. However, using figures provided by government agencies themselves, we are able to establish a 3½ million minimum.

Figures provided by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys for 1981 give the number of people resident in the UK but born overseas as 3,374,000. With this figure there is breakdown into countries of birth from which we find that 1,517,000 are from the New Commonwealth and Pakistan, i.e. non-white countries. Then taking other non-white immigrants from countries not in this category, such as Chinese, Vietnamese, Arabs, etc., and adding them to the NCWP total we have a number which **at the very minimum** is 1,800,000.

At least 3½–4 million non-Whites and the number is increasing every year

Remember that this is only the number of coloureds born **outside** this country; it does not include those born in Britain itself!

To get some picture of the latter figure, we have prepared tables based on the birthrates of non-white ethnic groups, these birthrates being themselves acknowledged by Government statisticians. These statisticians have admitted that the present birthrate among non-white immigrants in the UK is 112 per 1,000, as compared with 59 per 1,000 among Whites – in other words, **the coloured birthrate is almost double** the white birthrate!

Information we have gained from official statistics extending back to the middle 1960s indicates that the coloured immigrant birthrate exceeded the white birthrate to no less an extent than it does now, particularly as then the average age of immigrants was younger and fertility therefore greater.

Because of lack of information from Government sources concerning coloured immigrant birthrates prior to the middle 1960s, we have made our own projection based upon the immigrant birthrate curve between the mid-1960s and the present. Using the method of extrapolation, we are able to establish that that birthrate was highest in the earlier years of coloured immigrant settlement in this country, i.e. the early 1950s, which is logical in view of the lower average age that we have mentioned.

We have then pitched our estimates of the pre-mid-1960s coloured immigrant birthrate **below** the figures indicated by this curve, **so as to eliminate any chance of error on our part on the side of exaggeration.**

From these figures of immigrant births, and from figures for the immigrant population during the years in question, we have been able to compile tables for the numbers of 2nd generation immigrants, i.e. children born in this country to coloured immigrant parents, as these numbers have risen from 1950 to the present. These tables have enabled us to know with reasonable accuracy how many 2nd generation immigrants there have been living in this country of each age group since 1950.

2ND. GENERATION MIGRANTS

Taking the absolute minimum number of 2nd generation immigrants indicated by these tables, **we have been able to establish that there are not less than 1,280,000 people born and living in this country whose parents were coloured and were born overseas.**

But this is not all. We have now reached the stage at which we have substantial numbers of coloured immigrants of the **3rd generation**, i.e. children born in this country to parents who themselves were also born here. This number is now increasing rapidly.

To ascertain what figure the number had reached in 1983, we consulted our tables beginning with the year 1970, which represents the point at which the offspring of immigrants who had arrived here in the early 1950s and who were born to those immigrants shortly after their arrival could themselves have started to have children. In fact for the past 13 years since the outset of the 1970s there has been a growing number of children born in Britain's maternity wards which come into this category of 3rd generation immigrants.

From known figures of 2nd generation coloured immigrants of various ages since 1970, and from minimum estimates of reproductive rates prevailing at the same times, we have been able to establish that **not less than 150,000 children have been born in this country to coloured parents who were themselves born here.**

To summarise, we have from these statistics and tables the following:–

1st generation coloured immigrants	1,800,000
2nd generation coloured immigrants	1,280,000
3rd generation coloured immigrants	150,000
	3,230,000

Now we must add to this figure of nearly 3¼ million those coloured immigrants who have entered the UK since 1981, the last year for which figures were provided in the Government census – plus those children born to them in this country. We must also add the completely unknown number of **illegal** immigrants, for which there are no figures provided at all but which are almost certain to number not less than a quarter of a million – plus children born in this country to those immigrants, a large portion of which will probably not even be registered by their parents as born.

DOUBLE GOVT. FIGURES

From these figures – figures which, as we have said, are based on deliberately conservative estimates taken from statistics provided by Government agencies themselves – we have a number of coloured inhabitants of Britain close to **double** that claimed by the defenders of successive governments' pro-immigration policies.

From this we may see something of the horrifying reality of the

contd. on page 3



INVASION IN THE MATERNITY WARDS

Close to 1½ million non-Whites have been born in Britain for certain – probably more – and this number is rapidly increasing

British
Nationalist

No. 34 DECEMBER 1983

Editor: Ronald Rickcord
Contributing Editor:
Charles Parker

REAGAN'S POODLE

THE AMERICANS were completely justified in invading Grenada, for no independent nation worth its salt can be expected to tolerate a hostile foreign power establishing an armed launching pad so close to its shores. We would like to think that British governments would take the same decisive action in similar circumstances, but we doubt that any government we are likely to have in the next few years will have either the guts or the gumption.

But if it did nothing else, the American invasion of Grenada achieved three things for which we should be grateful. It showed that Britain's Black Commonwealth is nothing more than an expensive farce which, if we are sensible, we should terminate without delay. It highlighted yet again the utter incompetence of the Foreign Office and the unfitness of Sir Geoffrey Howe to hold high office. But most of all, it clearly demonstrated that the Americans will always put their own interests before those of their allies — and who can blame them?

The last point fully vindicates this paper's frequent references to the folly of allowing our country to be used as a forward base for American weapons. By relying on American rather than British weapons for our defence, our politicians have effectively emasculated Britain in addition to confirming the gibe that we are nothing more than President Reagan's poodle.

MULTI-RACIALISM AND MURDER

DENNIS NILSEN'S claim that he gave up training as a policeman because he disapproved of the "racist attitude" prevalent in the police was obviously intended to convince the jury that despite his gruesome crimes he wasn't really such a bad fellow after all. Even a mass-murderer is not beyond redemption so long as he dutifully and enthusiastically embraces the Establishment's aim of race-mixing the British people out of existence!

But doesn't Nilsen's admission compound rather than mitigate his guilt? Isn't the murder of a handful of young men less heinous a crime than multiracialism, which has as its aim the extermination of the entire British Race? And in addition, doesn't the very existence of people like Nilsen present an even stronger case than there already is for the restoration of capital punishment?

GREETINGS!

WE TAKE this opportunity to wish all our readers a merry Christmas and a very happy New Year. We confidently predict that the substantial advances made by the British National Party during 1983 will be continued in 1984.

WHO IS SOVEREIGN IN BRITAIN?

RONALD RICKCORD looks at some alarming ramifications of the Greenham trouble

"I CAN give no such assurance" blustered Defence Secretary Michael Heseltine. He was replying to an MP who had asked him for an assurance that the women protesters at Greenham Common would not be fired upon if they managed to penetrate into the more sensitive areas of the American airbase. No doubt many people imagined that Mr. Heseltine was showing a determination often lacking amongst Government Ministers; but he had chosen his words with care, for it is not he who will take the decision to shoot, any more than it is British servicemen who will do the shooting. If matters do come to such a pass it will be a faceless and unaccountable American officer who will give the order to shoot, and it will be not-very-bright GIs who do the actual shooting.

Mr. Heseltine's remark reminded me of something that happened to me almost exactly 22 years ago when I was serving in the Royal Air Force and was stationed at an airfield in East Anglia. One Friday we airmen were informed, much to our annoyance, that we were to report to the cookhouse just before midnight. We were not told why. We duly reported for duty at the appointed time, were each given a packed meal, and were then told to climb aboard several waiting lorries that would transport us to an undisclosed destination.

After an uncomfortable journey lasting some three hours the lorries stopped and we were told to get out. We found ourselves outside an American airbase in Essex called RAF Wethersfield. (The prefix "RAF" is appended to all American airbases in Britain in an attempt to maintain the fiction that the men and weapons at such bases are under British control!)

COURAGE LACKING

Now we realised the reason for our mysterious journey. For several weeks the "Committee of 100", a crankish group of nuclear disarmers led by the senile and dotty Bertrand Russell, had been threatening to "invade" the airbase that very day. Then, as now, the Government, lacking the courage of its convictions, failed to take the obvious action of clapping Russell and his cronies in jail on charges of conspiracy, sedition and treason; but at the eleventh hour our lily-livered political leaders suddenly realised the likely outcome if the trigger-happy GIs were to find themselves confronted by an unruly mob of ban-the-bomb protesters. There might be bloodshed! Heaven forbid! We must avoid that at all costs!

To forestall the "invasion", the base was surrounded by three lines of defence. The first line consisted of some 2,000 policemen. Next came an inner ring of 8,000 unarmed RAF personnel who had been brought in from all over South-East England. And the last line of defence consisted of an unsavoury-looking bunch of armed American airmen, many of them Negroes. I couldn't help but wonder what would happen if any of the protesters managed to penetrate the cordon. One thing was certain: if any of them did break through, we British airmen would be in the direct line of fire — but no matter, British servicemen always have been regarded as expendable.

The possibility of what might happen was forcibly brought home to me as we walked round the base perimeter to take up our positions.



AMERICAN PROPERTY IN BRITAIN
There are bunkers containing Cruise missiles at Greenham Common. What would Americans do if nuclear protesters attacked them?

We were told to keep to the outer side of a ditch surrounding the base, but at one point the ground was so muddy that I decided to jump onto drier ground on the other side of the ditch. Almost before my feet touched the ground I found myself looking down the barrel of a gun aimed at me by an unpleasant-looking Negro. I don't know what frightened me most, his gun, his enormous nostrils, or his threat to shoot me if I took another step towards him; but discretion being the better part of valour, I beat a very hasty retreat! However, despite the comical situation, I felt aggrieved that I, a British serviceman, should thus be threatened by a foreigner in my own country.

In the event only a handful of the protesters turned up, and none of them got past the police; but the Government had played right into their hands. They had been given all the publicity that they craved for.

A generation later it appears that things haven't changed much and the politicians have learned nothing. The present Government seems to have no better idea how to deal with these nuisances than its predecessor. What the politicians fail to understand is that, though the protesters are led by muddled intellectuals, eccentric clergymen and treasonous politicians who are seemingly "respectable", the vast majority of those who actually go on the demonstrations are nothing more than exhibitionists who crave for attention. Anyone who watched the Greenham Common women brazenly waving their placards bearing the words WHORES AGAINST WARS and similar slogans during their recent march through London, or who has followed the career of an actress scornfully known as "Vanessa the Undresser" will know what I mean. The way to deal with such people is to deny them publicity, not give it to them. Surely such a policy shouldn't be beyond the wit of even the present Government to arrange.

Now, it would be a mistake for the reader to imagine that because I have somewhat scathingly attacked the Government and the Greenham Common harridans in this article, I support the basing of American nuclear weapons in Britain. Most readers will already know that I'm totally opposed to **any** American military presence in our country. But as a patriotic Briton I'm equally opposed to British women, harridans or not, being fired on by American troops. And this brings me back to Mr. Heseltine's inane remarks.

NO QUALMS

I very much doubt that Mr. Heseltine, for all his bluster, would ever give an order to the troops to fire on women; but I've no doubt that the Americans would have no qualms about doing precisely that if they felt that American interests were under threat. And so the embarrassment that the Greenham Common women have caused the Government has served to highlight the quandary the Government is in when it comes to the infinitely more important matter of allowing American nuclear weapons to be based here. For who can doubt that if America's vital interests were at stake she would use those weapons, whatever the wishes of the British Government might be? Only a fool.

All the pompous talk about the "special relationship", the "Atlantic Alliance" and "dual-key control" is so much verbiage. When it comes to the crunch the Americans will do as they think fit. That is why they and their impediments must be removed from our country. And that is why we must have our own nuclear deterrent under our own control before it's too late.

HESELTINE
All bluster

NEWS ROUND-UP

FOLLOWING the recent visit to Britain of President Samora Machel of Mozambique, the Government has agreed to write off outstanding loans amounting to £22.5 million owed by Mozambique to Britain. During the visit the Queen conferred on the Marxist dictator (who puts his opponents to death by means of a circular saw) the Knight Grand Cross of St. Michael and St. George.

★★★★

THE GOVERNMENT has decided to reduce State subsidies for the improvement of older dwellings by £200 million as part of its policy of reducing public expenditure.

★★★★

DR. ROGER THOMAS, Labour MP for Carmarthen, has been arrested on an allegation of importuning for immoral purposes outside a public toilet near Swansea.

★★★★

A HOME OFFICE drive to recruit more coloured prison officers has failed. Out of 94 coloured applicants only two were able to pass the written aptitude test. One West Indian who took the test managed to get only one mark out of a possible 115 — for getting his name right.

★★★★

THE WOMEN'S COMMITTEE of Islington Council is to spend up to £500 for a conference to "deal with problems experienced by older and elderly lesbians."

★★★★

THREE Scottish judges have ruled that selling "glue-sniffing kits" to children is a crime under the law of Scotland. They announced their verdict in reply to an appeal by two brothers, Haliq Raja and Ahmed Raja, who claimed that selling the kits was not a crime. The brothers will now have to appear for trial at Glasgow's High Court.

★★★★

BRITAIN has paid for the upkeep of lighthouses in Eire since 1922. In 1981 Britain paid £7 million to maintain the lighthouses.

★★★★

AN INDIAN medical student, Dipak Amin, 19, who claimed that he had been attacked by "white racists", has admitted that his injuries were self-inflicted. Police believe that Amin concocted the story as an excuse to avoid retaking examinations which he had previously failed.

★★★★

A WOMAN aged 54 had her skull fractured by two muggers who ripped two gold chains from her neck in Peckham. Police say the muggers were both Blacks aged between 15 and 16.

BLACK POPULATION GROWTH *(Contd.)*

dimensions of Britain's colour problem, a problem created needlessly by the politicians of the old parties and which blew up in those politicians' faces with the race riots of 1981.

FUTURE PROSPECT

But, however horrifying this picture is at present, it is nothing compared with the future picture, given the known rate of reproduction of the coloured immigrant races. As far back as 1978 the Government admitted that as many as 12 per-cent of the live births in Britain were to women born overseas, of which more than half were to women born in NCWP countries. Add to these the children born of non-white parents from other countries of birth and we are certain to have a figure of not less than 7 per-cent representing the children born in Britain to coloured parents born overseas. To this must be added the children born to coloured mothers who were themselves born in this country. The present number of women coming into this latter category who are now of child-bearing age is approximately 200,000 and is increasing rapidly every year. The births to these women represent about 3 per-cent of the annual total in the UK, so that when they are added to the portion previously mentioned we can see that **not less than 10 per-cent of the live births in the United Kingdom at the present time are to coloured parents.**

HALF - CASTES

We must also recognise a further fact about both the total coloured population figure **and** the figure of reproduction which we have stated: neither of these figures takes into account the half-caste children that have been born in this country, most of whom are the offspring of white mothers and coloured fathers, and who therefore will not have been included among the births recorded to women of coloured immigrant origin. When these are taken into account, it is virtually certain that they bring the non-white population of Britain up to the figure of **at least 4 MILLION.**

What this is doing to our country can be witnessed in any of the large ghetto areas of our major cities, where of course the proportion of coloureds in the population is far higher than over the UK as a whole. It can be seen in the horrific rise of violent crimes, such as mugging. It can be seen in the civil strife which tore apart over 50 British towns and cities in the Summer of 1981 and which has continued (although with less publicity) since. It can be seen in the increasing number of children of low achievement in our schools, among which even the supporters of the coloured influx admit there is an exceptionally high proportion of young Blacks.

The coloured invasion is, in short, destroying Britain. The politicians of the established parties are quite content to sit back watching this destruction and doing nothing about it – after all, the black vote is now an important factor in general elections and the politicians do not want to lose it!

We of the British National Party ARE prepared to do something to stop the destruction of our country which we have described. With your help we can eventually succeed. Don't lose another day – JOIN US AND SUPPORT US IN THIS, THE MOST IMPORTANT BATTLE FOR SURVIVAL THAT BRITAIN HAS EVER FOUGHT!

LET JUSTICE BE DONE

CHRISTINE YIANNI demolishes the arguments of the anti - hangers

LAST JULY Britain's MPs voted against the return of capital punishment and rejected proposals that the matter should be decided by a referendum. As expected, these self-proclaimed champions of democracy once again completely ignored the wishes of the British people, the majority of whom are deeply concerned about the vast rise in the number of murders that has occurred since capital punishment was abolished.

During the debate in the Commons the opponents of hanging gave several reasons why in their opinion the death penalty should not be restored. Some said it does not deter; some argued that it was immoral; others felt that since it was based on the idea of exacting retribution it was barbaric; while many MPs, inspired by Christian teaching, maintained that human life was sacred and should not, therefore, be terminated by the State. But the main argument employed by the MPs opposing the death penalty, and perhaps the only valid one, was the possibility that an innocent person might be mistakenly executed.

ANTI - HANGING ARGUMENTS

Let us examine these reasons for not bringing back the rope.

To begin with, whatever anti-hanging MPs may say, hanging certainly **does** deter. The recently published *Crime Statistics 1982*, issued by the Home Office, shows that last year there were 619 cases of homicide in England and Wales, an increase of 11 per cent on the previous year. Close examination of these annually published statistics also shows that during the 100 years prior to the abolition of capital punishment in 1964, the number of homicides remained fairly constant between 250 and 300 a year, but since 1964 the number has more than doubled – surely a damning indictment of the abolitionists' case!

MPs who argue that hanging is immoral presumably mean that because it involves inflicting pain and fear, it is wrong for the State to resort to this form of punishment. But the infliction of pain and fear is not in itself immoral so long as those penalties are inflicted for good and lawful reasons. In any case, do the MPs display much regard for morality when they deliberately jeopardise the lives of innocent people, particularly children, simply because they have not the courage to impose a penalty sufficient to deter would-be murderers?

Those MPs who maintain that it is barbarous for the State to exact retribution should consider the feelings of the people who have been bereaved as a result of murder. Is it not a far greater barbarity that murderers should be allowed to live unavenged? Contrary to what they may think, vengeance is a necessary and proper element of punishment for crimes of violence. Without vengeance there is no real punishment; and the failure to make murderers pay the ultimate punishment for their crimes denies justice to their victims, satisfaction to the relatives and friends of victims, and also undermines the confidence of the law-abiding public. If the Law is to be respected, justice must be seen to be done.

The MPs who use the "sanc-

contd. on back page

CASES FOR THE ROPE

Mass Murderers Sutcliffe (left) and Nilsen (right)

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

MALEVOLENT RECORDER

The action of the Recorder at Wood Green Crown Court in malevolently criticising Woolworths for prosecuting a 77-year-old shoplifter on the grounds of her age seems quite extraordinary to me. Theft is theft whatever one's age. I wonder if the Recorder, whose name is Simon Goldstein, would have been quite so sympathetic to the shoplifter had she been doing her business in Marks and Spencer instead of Woolworths. I suspect that his attitude towards her would have been rather different!

RED CREEP

My anger aroused by Mgr. Bruce Kent's speech at the British Communist party congress, during which he congratulated the delegates for their efforts towards

MGR. BRUCE KENT

world peace, was somewhat allayed a couple of days later when I read in the papers about the anti-CND demonstration staged by BNP members while the Red traitor was speaking at a meeting in Finchley. We Nationalists should be proud of all our colleagues who took part in the protest.

It is quite incredible that Mgr. Kent should be given leave by the Roman Catholic authorities to take on such an overtly political role as general-secretary of CND. Unfortunately, today the Roman Catholic Church in Britain, unlike its counterpart in France where the bishops recently expressed their wholehearted support for their country's nuclear deterrent force, is led by ecclesiastical "wets" like Cardinal Hume and Mgr. Derek Worlock who are only a shade less wet than Anglican leaders like Dr. Runcie and Bishop David Sheppard. Indeed, it seems to me that the two Churches are vying with each other in an attempt to gain the favour of the lunatic Left. Unfortunately, however, trendiness cannot be equated with holiness. How we could do with a few of those no-nonsense prelates of yesteryear who spearheaded the Churches' implacable opposition to Communism – men like Cardinals Mindszenty, Wyszynsky and Godfrey, Archbishops Lang and Fisher, and of course the saintly, though now much derided, Pope Pius XII.

TRICKSTER ENNOBLED

The introduction of Lord Wilson of Rievaulx (formerly Mr. Harold Wilson) to the House of Lords was, by all accounts, an occasion of some merriment. Apparently he dropped his scroll whilst kneeling before the Woolsack and in attempting to retrieve it his tricorn hat fell off his head. Then he muffed his lines when taking the oath by referring to himself as "Harold" rather than "Baron" Wilson. If the Great Trickster is at all superstitious these inauspicious mishaps must have caused him a shudder of apprehension. But what intrigues me is why his Lordship chose to include Rievaulx in his title. Rievaulx is in a remote part of Yorkshire that is famed only for the magnificent remains of the Cistercian abbey that once stood there. Could it be that by allying his name with the name of a place once renowned for the piety of the monks who dwelt there, the Great Trickster hopes to ease his way into heaven? Whatever his reason, the poor departed monks of Rievaulx must be turning in their graves!

BARON WILSON

SCUM AT THE TOP

As a young airman serving with the RAF in Cyprus, I once chanced to get into conversation in the NAAFI with a soldier who had spent many years in India. During our conversation I happened to mention my admiration for Admiral Lord Louis Mountbatten who, for me at that time, was only a rung or two lower down the ladder than Horatio Nelson. The old soldier disabused me of my hero-worshipping regard for Mountbatten by telling me that the Mountbattens were "scum". He particularly applied the term to Mountbatten's wife, Edwina, who, he said, was "fair game for anyone, including private soldiers." I took his remarks with a pinch of salt, consoling myself with the thought that he was probably "shooting a line" as old soldiers are wont to do. But as the years have passed it has become apparent that he was right.

A recently published biography entitled *Edwina: Countess Mountbatten of Burma* has appeared in the bookshops lending support to the charges made by that soldier all those years ago. Written by Richard Hough, the book informs us that Edwina Ashley was the granddaughter of Jewish millionaire publisher Sir Ernest Cassel. She was extremely wealthy and made an ideal partner for the ambitious, though somewhat impoverished young Louis Mountbatten. He was an opportunist who owed his meteoric rise to Edwina's wealth and his royal connections. However, behind the public facade, Edwina was promiscuously unfaithful and had an uncontrolled passion for dark-skinned men. Among those with whom she had affairs were Nehru and Paul Robeson, and she also dabbled in lesbian relationships. So bad was her reputation that King George V, who was certainly no prude, banished her from Court. Meanwhile the oft-cuckolded Lord Louis sought solace in the arms of a French mistress.

NICE PEOPLE!

A gang of young West Indians recently stopped a young mother and her baby girl in a Luton shopping centre and tried to bring off a mugging.

When they saw the police approaching, they ran away empty handed, but not before one of the gang, a Rastafarian, has stabbed the baby in the eye with a pen.

RACHMANISM ALIVE AND WELL

This rather unpleasant looking individual is a Mr. Sighismund Berger who is reputed to own 100,000 properties in the UK, 25,000 of them in London. MPs are investigating Mr. Berger's activities following complaints from his tenants that he neglects the upkeep of the properties, and from lease holders who claim that he forces them to pay "enormous bills" for structural repairs.

***British Nationalist* maintains that it is obscene that any person should own 100,000 dwellings. Merely holding an investigation into the activities of the unsavoury Mr. Berger is not good enough; the Government should immediately enact legislation to suppress this resurgence of Rachmanism. It is totally unacceptable that Britons should be subjected to the greedy exploitation of landlords like Mr. Berger.**

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,–Mr. Michael Heseltine's recent experience at Manchester University, where he was sprayed with paint by students who support the hags of Greenham Common, has provoked him into giving dire warnings about the dangers of "mob rule".

But Sir, we are already ruled by the mob, as anyone who regularly listens to radio broadcasts of debates in the House of Commons already knows. For yobbish behaviour the example set by members of the "Mother of Parliaments" can scarcely be equalled.

JOHN JONES,
Much Wenlock, Shrops.

Sir,–A policeman recently gave me the following details of an incident which occurred in Leeds.

On Thursday 13th October officers of the Drug Squad were positioned in a doctor's surgery in Chapletown Road where they were observing local Blacks suspected of committing drug offences. Somehow the Blacks discovered they were being watched and they launched an attack on the police. In the ensuing battle a policeman received a serious back injury and several police vehicles were damaged. As a result a number of Blacks were arrested and placed in custody.

The local Blacks then approached the police and threatened that there would be a riot next day unless those who had been arrested were immediately released without any charges being preferred. Although details of this affair were widely known in the area, the matter was not reported by the local media.

The policeman who told me about the incident said that it was not an isolated case, and that similar things were happening all the time. He added that the Chapletown area of Leeds is policed differently to any other area of the city in order to avoid racial unrest. In other words, the police are being ordered to overlook serious crimes committed by Blacks in case they riot – presumably in the interests of "racial harmony"!

MICK GIBSON,
BNP Branch Organiser, Leeds.

PACKED HALL AT BIRMINGHAM

THE BRITISH NATIONAL Party held its biggest yet meeting in the Midlands at the beginning of this month, between 80 and 90 people packing a hall in Birmingham, where the BNP staged an indoor rally for the purpose of addressing people in the area who had enquired about the party at the time of the General Election and following.

About 25 - 30 newcomers attended the meeting and several of these announced at the end their intention of joining. The remainder of the audience consisted mainly of West Midlands party members, but with a few from outside the region.

The meeting was chaired by newly appointed West Midlands Regional Organiser Stan Goodwin and the main speeches were made by Charles Parker and John Tyndall. These were supplemented at the beginning by two short speeches by Ian Sloan and John Peacock.

The meeting ended in great enthusiasm and the collection raised £160.

John Tyndall speaks

Protest in Gloucester

Robert Rhodes, Branch Organiser, presented a letter protesting about the World Council of Churches' policy of aiding terrorist groups in the Third World to the Chairman of the Gloucester Council of Churches at a recent meeting of the Council which was addressed by Dr. Philip Morgan, general-secretary of the British Council of Churches, who had recently returned from Canada, where he had been attending a WCC conference.

While Mr. Rhodes was presenting the letter to the Chairman, other BNP members moved among the audience distributing BNP and anti-WCC leaflets. Although the Branch received no publicity in the local media, a large number of people attended the meeting, so the BNP demonstration had the desired effect. In addition, Mr. Rhodes' letter is to be discussed at the next committee meeting held by the Gloucester Council of Churches.

NEW GROUP

YORKSHIRE REGION of the British National Party announced last month the formation of a new active group in **Halifax**, organised by Mr. Stuart Cowling. An inaugural meeting of the group took place on 10th November.

Northern meetings

JOHN TYNDALL, BNP Leader, accompanied by his wife Valerie, undertook a quick tour of the North of England last month, speaking to the party's Bradford group on November 18th and then to the Tyneside branch in Newcastle on the following evening.

At Bradford Mr. Tyndall spoke to an audience of about 30 people, many of whom were enquirers from the General Election and were attending a party meeting for the first time. He was accompanied on the platform by Stanley Clayton-Garnett, a local ex-headmaster. At Newcastle a similar size of audience was present, again with many newcomers.

Several of the newcomers at both meetings announced their intention to join at the end.

SALES IN SOUTH WEST

DEVON & CORNWALL activists are really getting cracking on door-to-door sales of *British Nationalist*. Recently inspired by the example of good results obtained by doorstep sales in London and elsewhere, the South West Region resolved to institute their elsewhere, the South West Region resolved to institute their own doorstep sales campaign. Having tried, they now know how easily the paper sells, even in remotest Cornwall. Starting in Liskeard, a team obtained modest results in the first hour of selling, disposing of 7 copies. However, coming back with the next issue and calling on those who had bought last month as well as on others, the team upped their performance and sold 16 in an hour. In Plymouth even better results were achieved, a local team selling 33 copies in an hour. The best of all sales, however, was achieved - believe it or not - in Looe (Cornwall), where 42 copies were sold in one hour - a remarkable performance considering that residential property in Looe tends to be spread out and is not ideal for quick progress from one dwelling to another.

Congratulations to local activists, David Bearsford Walker, David Cullingford, Richard Treharne, Colin Bradbury and David Stentaford, who are the mainstay of this activity.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 17p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00, 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 33p post
25 copies £2.70 + 95p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.67 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.00 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.20 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.35 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.50 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

LET JUSTICE BE DONE

(contd. from page 3)

tity of life" argument as a reason for opposing the return of the death penalty seem far more concerned with the sanctity of murderers' lives than they are with the sanctity of the lives of their innocent victims. In holding to the belief that the lives of murderers are sacrosanct and should not therefore be terminated, they demonstrate that they subscribe to the trendy idea that the welfare of criminals is more important than that of the law-abiding – a view that is having catastrophic consequences as is clearly shown by the violence on our streets.

The only argument against the reintroduction of capital punishment that has any validity is the possibility that an innocent person may be mistakenly hanged. However, this is an infinitesimally small risk compared with the risk each one of us has of being run over, drowned, electrocuted or even **murdered**. And in any case, by their overwhelming support for the reintroduction of hanging, the British people have consistently shown that the remote possibility of being mistakenly hanged is a risk that they are prepared to take.

SPURIOUS

In this short article I have demonstrated that of the five main arguments used by the opponents of capital punishment, four are spurious while the fifth, though valid, is concerned with a situation so seldom likely to occur, that it is hardly worth consideration.

The weakness of the case against hanging, together with the alarming rise in the number of homicides occurring and the public disquiet that has been aroused, puts an obligation on the Government to restore the death penalty without further delay. If they haven't the courage to do this themselves, then they should let the people decide the matter by means of a referendum. To deny us such an opportunity is a denial of democracy, which is sheer hypocrisy coming from people who claim to be democrats.

The British people have a right to expect that the ultimate penalty should be the price to be paid for the ultimat crime. Let justice be done!

British Nationalist, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £2.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

THE LIGHT DAWNS!

"THE NATIONAL FRONT is a desperately sick organisation. Morale is at an all-time low. Membership figures have . . . fallen so much that we are now back to the levels of the start of the 1970s. A feeling of cynicism and indiscipline pervades the party, starting among some of its most senior officials and working its way down . . . The National Front has a potentially deadly cancer - we can't cure it by ignoring it . . . The truth is that any constructive criticism designed to help the party is taken by Martin Webster as a 'personal attack'. Consequently, he smothers all initiative and makes it impossible to implement the changes so urgently needed if the National Front is to save itself . . . Impressive marches (in the 1970s) followed by rising votes led to more interest in the NF . . . and so on in an upward spiral. But for at least 4 years the spiral has been working in reverse. Marches are getting steadily smaller and less impressive . . . Falling votes have led to loss of credibility and so even lower votes. . . Massive changes are now necessary if the party is to survive . . ."

No, these words were not written by the Editors of *British Nationalist* or *Spearhead*, nor by any other spokesman for the British National Party; they are the words of Joe Pearce and Nick Griffin, members of the National Front Directorate and co-editors of the NF magazine *Nationalism Today*, in a four-page circular sent to NF party officials and leading activists last month.

As this issue of *British Nationalist* goes to press, we have just heard that the NF Directorate has voted to strip Martin Webster of all his party offices – almost exactly 4 years after voting to prevent John Tyndall doing just that!

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS